

CERTIFIED MAIL

May 25, 1993

David and Edwina K. Llanes  
P. O. Box 2282  
Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Llanes:

Ohana Dwelling Application (OD 90-422)  
David Llanes and Edwina K. Llanes  
TMK: 7-5-13:64, Lot 20

3146

This acknowledges ohana dwelling application and related submittals received December 7, 1990.

Section 25-271 (3), Article 25, Regulations for Ohana Dwelling, of the Zoning Code, states "That at the time of application for a County Building Permit for a second dwelling unit, the subject lot or land is not restricted by a recorded covenant or a recorded lease provision (in a lease having a term of not less than fifteen years) which prohibits a second dwelling unit."

The Planning Department has determined that the recorded deed language precludes the development of an ohana dwelling unit on subject tax map key parcel. Pursuant to Article 25 of the Hawaii County Zoning Code, we therefore regret to inform you a request to construct ohana dwelling on the subject tax map key parcel is denied. Your option is to take appropriate steps with the covenantee to have the restrictions removed or waived or appeal our determination through procedure set forth below.

The Director's decision is final, except that within thirty (30) days after receipt of this letter, you may appeal the decision in writing to the Board of Appeals in accordance with the following procedures:

00739

MAY 26 1993

David and Edwina Llanes

Page 2

May 25, 1993

1. A non-refundable filing fee of two hundred dollars (\$200).
2. Ten (10) copies of the petition for the appeal incorporating the following:
  - a. The name, address, and telephone number of the appellant and the name, title, and address of the appellant's representative.
  - b. A description of the property involved in the appeal, including the tax map key number of the property, and the appellant's interest in the property.
  - c. A plain statement of the nature of the appeal and the relief requested.
  - d. A statement explaining:
    - 1) How the decision appealed from violates the law; or
    - 2) How the decision appealed from is clearly erroneous; or
    - 3) How the decision appealed from was arbitrary or capricious, or characterized by an abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion.
  - e. A clear and concise statement of any other relevant facts.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Gilbert Bailado of this office.

Sincerely,



for VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN  
Planning Director

GB:mjs  
9164D

xc: Corporation Counsel  
West Hawaii Office  
H.M. Chamberlain