CERTIFIED MAIL

June 1, 1982

Mr. Wilfred P. Panilo P. O. Box 3018 Honokaa, Hawaii 96727

Dear Mr. Panilo:

Variance Application (V82-9) Tax Map Key 4-6-07:10

After reviewing your application and the information submitted in behalf of it, the Planning Director by this letter hereby certifies the approval of your variance request to construct a wind energy conversion system with a tower height of eighty (80) feet in lieu of the maximum allowable height of fifty five (55) feet.

The approval is based on the following:

1. A special circumstance exists on the subject property not generally applying to surrounding properties in conjunction with the request for a height exception. This lot contains bordering stands of trees up to fifty (50) feet in height providing both an aesthetic addition plus serving as a practical and needed windbreak for the property. However, these trees also cause a disruption in wind flow patterns resulting in the necessity of the proposed windmill blades having to be placed substantially above them in order to be effective energy generators (reference - "A Siting Handbook for Small Wind Energy Conversion Systems, U.S. Department of Energy, March 1980"). The request is therefore completely dependent on the flow and speed of the wind in order to function satisfactorily. A "clear" zone approximately 30 feet above the highest "obstruction" is therefore required for the wind energy system.

Mr. Wilfred P. Panilo Page 2 June 1, 1982

The applicant intends to use the power generated by the windmill to be the main supply source for his electrical demands. The facility must be sited relatively close to the existing structures for efficiency purposes, and thus alternate locations throughout the subject property are limited.

- 2. This set of circumstances (buildings, trees and localized wind patterns) exist to a degree which would not permit the establishing of an alternate energy system (the windmill) on the subject property without exceeding the district height limit of 55 feet. With the continuing local and national emphasis on utilizing alternate energy sources, the denial of this request would thus interfere with the most efficient manner of developing the subject property.
- 3. Due to the special circumstances involved with this request, approval of the Variance will not constitute a grant of special privilege inconsistent with limitations upon other properties under identical district classifications.
- 4. Approval of this Variance request would be consistent with the County General Plan and with local and national goals which encourage the development and use of alternate energy sources. Its approval will also be consistent with the district purposes which allow for agricultural and very low density residential use. In this particular case, the height request would not unreasonably infringe upon existing or potential development rights of surrounding properties, which are currently in agricultural use.

The variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- Precise siting of the windmill tower shall abide by the site plan described by Appendix I.
- Plans and construction of the total structure must be approved by a structural engineer or architect registered in the State of Hawaii.
- The applicant shall comply with Part 77 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 C.F.R. Part 77) pursuant to Section 1101 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended prior to obtaining a building permit.

Mr. Wilfred P. Panilo Page 3 June 1. 1982

- 4. The applicant shall submit plans for the proposed development and obtain a building permit for the structure June 1, 1983.
- 5. Granting of this Variance request does not construe or imply the County's preserving for the applicant any upwind easement by restricting in whole or in part, future development on other properties in the vicinity.
- 6. The applicant shall comply with all other applicable Federal, State and County rules, regulations and requirements.
- 7. The applicant, Wilfred Panilo shall be responsible for providing the appropriate safeguards on his system to prevent TV and/or radio interference to the adjoining proprty owners notified through this variance application.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be met, the Variance Permit shall be deemed void.

If you have any questions on this matter, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

SIDNEY M. NKE

Planning Director

RN:lov

cc: Planning Commission