Stephen K. Yamashiro Mayor



Virginia Goldstein
Director

Norman Olesen
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-9615 WAR 593

CERTIFIED MAIL

May 12, 1994



Mr. Donald McIntosh P.O. Box 1686 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745

Dear Mr. McIntosh:

Variance Application WH(VAR94-23)

Applicant: ROBERT OWENS

Variance from Minimum Sideyard Setback Requirements

Tax Map Key: 7-7-11: 40

After reviewing your application and the information submitted in behalf of it, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING with an 9.81 foot and 9.82 foot side yard setback and a 19.79 foot front yard setback in lieu of the minimum 10 FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK and 20 FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACK as required by Chapter 25 (Zoning Code), Article 4 (Single Family Residential), SECTION 25-124 (a)(2) (Minimum yards).

The subject property is located on the west side of Leilani Street approximately 560 feet north of the Leilani Street/Sunset Drive intersection in the Sunset View Terrace, Unit 2, Subdivision, Holualoa, North Kona, Hawaii, TMK: 7-7-11: 40.

The Planning Director has concluded that the variance request from the MINIMUM FRONT AND SIDE YARD SETBACK requirements should be approved, based on the following findings:

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- The subject property is part of the Sunset View Terrace, Unit 2 Subdivision consisting of 10,000 square feet of land area.
- The subject single family dwelling was issued Building Permit No. 02331 on March 18, 1977.

6696%

JUN 1 _ 1994

Mr. Donald McIntosh Page 2 May 12, 1994

- 3. A survey map dated April 8, 1993 was prepared and certified by Donald McIntosh shows the existing 2-story dwelling with 7.8 to 8.6 foot side yard setback on the north side property line. As such, the subject dwelling encroaches into the side yard setback by 2.2 and 1.4 feet.
- 4. The homeowners at that time, received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 5. When the plans were approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to show that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the proposed dwelling in 1977.
- 6. It appears that a construction staking error occurred in 1977 when the dwelling was constructed in the siting of the structure on the property. It also appears that a very minor siting error was done at the time of construction with the minuscule encroachments. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 7. It has been over 17 years since the construction of the existing dwelling which was approved by the County and the petitioner is trying to resolve a situation which he had no control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling.
- 8. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on FEBRUARY 17, 1994.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, it is determined that a there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. The subject property is an interior lot and rectangular shaped parcel.
- 2. The present dwelling is situated 19.79 feet from the front property line and 9.81 and 9.82 feet from the north side property line. These result in a 3 and 3/4 inch encroachments into the front yard setback; 2 and 1/8 inch

Mr. Donald McIntosh Page 3 May 12, 1994

and 2 and 1/4 inch encroachments into the north side yard setback. These encroachments are minuscule in relationship to the minimum required 20 foot front yard and 10 foot side yard setback requirements. These minuscule encroachments are not perceptibly visible that it could be readily detected or seen as encroachments into the side yard.

- 3. The applicant on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not shown any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 4. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships of the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable available solutions without excessive demands placed on the applicant when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring buildings setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing dwelling on the subject property is presently situated 19.79 feet from the front property line and 9.81 and 9.82 feet from the north side property line. Therefore, the 3 and 3/4 inch encroachments into the front yard setback; 2 and 1/8 inch and 2 and 1/4 inch encroachments into the north side yard setback are so minuscule and are not visually perceptible that it will diminish the ability for adequate light, air and open space between the existing dwelling and the dwelling to the Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 foot front yard setback and 10 foot side yard setback, in this particular case, the encroachments are so minuscule that it will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard setbacks requirements of the Zoning Code.

There were no objections from any of the participating government agencies or any surrounding property owners.

Mr. Donald McIntosh Page 4 May 12, 1994

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district, the intent and purpose of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes and the County General Plan; will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare; and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the areas character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant, its assigns or successors, shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
- 3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN Planning Director

RHY:rld 0932Q

xc: West Hawaii Office