Stephen K. Yamashiro Mayor



Virginia Goldstein Director

Norman Olesen Deputy Director

County of Nawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT 25 Aupuni Streer, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-9615

CERTIFIED MAIL P 364 320 399

December 4, 1995

Mr. Bruce Simpson P. O. Box 511 Mountain View, HI 96771

Dear Mr. Simpson:

Variance Permit No. 711 (VAR 95-75)
Applicant: Bruce Simpson
Variance From the Minimum Side Yard Setback Requirements
 of the Zoning Code, Chapter 25, Section 25-124(B)(a)(3)
Tax Map Key: 1-1-11:84

After reviewing your application and the information submitted on behalf of it, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an existing water tank with a side yard setback of eight (8) feet in lieu of the required 15 foot side yard setback of the Zoning Code, Chapter 25, Section 23-124(a)(3)(B).

The subject property is located off Ninth Street with Mauna Loa Estates Subdivision, Keaau, Puna, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 1-1-11:84.

The Planning Director has concluded that the variance request from the minimum side yard setback requirement should be approved based on the following findings.

Special and Unusual Circumstances

- 1. The subject property is part of the Manaloa Estates Subdivision consisting of 20,000 square feet of land area.
- The subject water tank was issued Building Permit No. 890971 in September 7, 1990.

14165 DEC 1 5 1995 Mr. Bruce Simpson Page 2 December 4, 1995

- 3. A survey map dated October 4, 1995, prepared and certified by the applicant shows the existing water tank with a side yard setback of 8 feet. As such, the subject water tank encroaches into the side yard setback at the side of the water tank by 7 feet.
- 4. The homeowners at that time received all the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the water tank.
- 5. When the plans were approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to show that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the proposed water tank in 1990.
- 6. It appears that a minor construction staking error occurred in 1990, when the water tank was constructed, in the siting of the structure on the property. It also appears that a siting error was done at the time of construction with minor encroachments. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 7. It has been over 5 years since the construction of the existing water tank, which was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which he had not control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the water tank.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, it is determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprive the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available, or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

Alternatives

1. The subject property is a rectangular lot with a front yard, rear yard and two (2) side yard setbacks as required by the Zoning Code.

Mr. Bruce Simpson Page 3 December 4, 1995

- 2. The present encroachments of seven (7) feet at the south west side of the property line is minor for a water tank in relationship to the minimum required 15 foot side yard setback.
- 3. The applicant on his own volition is honestly trying to resolve this longstanding problem which was not intentionally created by him. The investigation of this particular matter has not shown any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 4. Any architectural alternatives or design changes to the water tank to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardship to the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above-cited considerations, there are no reasonable available solutions without excessive demands placed on the applicant when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

Intent and Purpose

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing water tank of the subject property is presently situated eight (8) feet from the side property line. Therefore, although only eight (8) foot side yard setbacks are being provided against the side property line, the seven (7) foot encroachment is so minor and is not visually perceptible that it will not diminish the ability for adequate light, air and open space between the existing water tank and the side property line.

Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 15-foot side yard setback, in this particular case, the encroachment is so minor that it will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance.

There was no objection from any of the participating government agencies or surrounding property owners.

Mr. Bruce Simpson Page 4 December 4, 1995

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district, the intent and purpose of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes and the County General Plan; will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare; and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant, his assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property, and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within one (1) year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
- 3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Sincerely, VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN Planning Director

EC:mjs F:\WP60\MICHELLE\LSIMPSO2.EC