

Stephen K. Yamashiro Mayor



Virginia Goldstein Director

Russell Kokubun Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

CERTIFIED MAIL P 417 370 003

March 4, 1998

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz P.O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 98-004) Variance No. 905 Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ Owners: DAVID AND JANET HEFT Request: Variance from Minimum Front Yard, Side Yard and Open Space Requirements Tax Map Key: 7-3-033: 016

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to an existing one story single family dwelling with a 19.3 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard, 9.8 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard, and a 3.0 feet open space in lieu of the minimum 5 feet open space, as required by Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(A)(B) and Chapter 25, Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a).

The subject property is located in the Kona Palisade Subdivision, Lot 16, Unit II, File Plan 1087, at Kalaoa 5th, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-3-033: 016.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- 1. The subject property consists of 10,585 square feet of land area.
- 2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permit:

0?261

MAR 1 2 1998

(

Mr. Klaus Conventz Page 2 March 4, 1998

- a. Building Permit No. 782695 opened on October 20, 1978 and closed on August 1, 1979 for the construction of the dwelling.
- b. Building Permit No. 926364 opened on November 2, 1992 and closed on November 12, 1992 for installation of a solar water system.
- 3. A survey map prepared by KKM SURVEYS, on January 19, 1998, shows the existing one story single family dwelling with a 19.3 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard. As such the dwelling encroaches 8-3/8 inches into the required 20 feet front yard.
- 4. The survey map shows the existing one story single family dwelling with a 9.8 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard. As such the dwelling encroaches 2-3/8 inches into the required 10 feet side yard.
- 5. The survey map shows the existing one story single family dwelling with a 3.0 feet open space in lieu of the minimum 5 feet open space. As such the dwelling encroaches 2 feet into the required 5 feet open space.
- 6. When the building permit was approved, the owners received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 7. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the proposed dwelling in 1978.
- 8. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1978 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 9. It has been over 20 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicants are trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the building.

10. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on January 20, 1998. Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree



 \bigcirc

Mr. Klaus Conventz Page 3 March 4, 1998

which deprives the owners or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicants when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring buildings setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing dwelling on the subject property is presently 8-3/8 inches into the required 20 feet front yard, 2-3/8 inches into the required 10 feet side yard and 2 feet into the required 5 feet open space. These encroachments into the front yard, side yard and open space will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 feet front yard, 10 feet side yard and 5 feet open space in this particular case, the encroachments are relatively minor and will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

There were no objections from any of the participating government agencies or any surrounding property owners.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially

Mr. Klaus Conventz Page 4 March 4, 1998

detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the areas' character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owners, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
- 3. If applicable, approval from the Board of Appeals for all Building Code Violations will be obtained.
- 4. Obtain building permits from the Department of Public Works for all unpermitted additions to the dwelling.
- 5. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Royden Yamasato of this department.

Sincerely,

sell

VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN Planning Director

EMM: rld a:\73033016\heft.app

xc: West Hawaii Office