

Virginia Goldstein Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

# County of Hawaii

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CERTIFIED MAIL P 417 370 174 25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

November 20, 1998

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz P.O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

3- 1

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 98-065)

Variance No. 971

Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ

Owners: ELMER AND ANA M. WEEKLEY

Request: Variance From Minimum Open Space Requirements

Tax Map Key: 7-7-021: 091

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an existing two story single family dwelling with a 3.8 feet side yard open space in lieu of the minimum 5 feet open space, as required by Ordinance 96-160, Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a) and Ordinance 97-88.

The subject property is located at Komohana Kai Subdivision, Lot 91, Unit 11, File Plan 1737, at Holualoa 2 and 3, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-7-021: 091.

## SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- 1. The subject property consists of 16,043 square feet of land area.
- 2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:
  - a. Building Permit No. 885510 opened on June 8, 1988 and closed on October 31, 1988 for the construction of a dwelling.

010794

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 2 November 20, 1998

- b. Building Permit No. 905829 opened on June 13, 1990 and closed on July 10, 1990 for a storage area within the carport.
- c. Building Permit No. 906053 opened on August 1, 1990 and closed on August 23, 1990 to complete flooring in the storage.
- d. Building Permit No. 916502 opened on November 7, 1991 and closed on December 2, 1991 to water proof the existing storage area and construction of a bathroom.
- e. Building Permit No. 916503 opened on November 18, 1991 and closed on July 13, 1992 for the addition of a lanai, bedroom, closet and office.
- f. Building Permit No. 916582 opened on November 27, 1991 and closed on September 25, 1992 for the construction of a swimming pool with deck.
- 3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on September 21, 1998, shows the existing two story single family dwelling with a 3.8 feet side yard open space in lieu of the minimum 5 feet open space. As such the dwelling encroaches 1 feet 2-3/8 inches into the required 5 feet open space.
- 4. When the building permit was approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 5. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1988.
- 6. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1988 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 7. It has been over 10 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicants are trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling.
- 8. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on September 21, 1998.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 3 November 20, 1998

There were no objections from any of the participating government agencies or any surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

- 1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

#### INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring buildings setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing dwelling encroaches 1 feet 2-3/8 inches into the required 5 feet open space. This encroachment into the open space will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 5 feet open space, in this particular case, the encroachment will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 4 November 20, 1998

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
- 3. If applicable, approval from the Board of Appeals for all Building Code Violations will be obtained.
- 4. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Royden Yamasato of this department.

Sincerely,

ÝIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN

Planning Director

EMM: rld

a:\77021091\vweekley.app

xc: West Hawaii Office