


Stephen K. Yamashiro  
Mayor



Virginia Goldstein  
Director

Russell Kokubun  
Deputy Director

## County of Hawaii

### PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252  
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

**CERTIFIED MAIL**  
Z 188 431 804

May 27, 1999

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz  
P.O. Box 2308  
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 99-018)  
Variance No. 1010  
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ  
Owners: ANN C. MACK AND BRUCE E MAKAREWICZ  
Request: Variance From Minimum Front Yard, Rear Yard and Open Space  
Requirements  
Tax Map Key: 7-7-021: 060

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an EXISTING TWO STORY SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING WITH ATTACHED GARAGE with a 18.0 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard; a 11.6 front yard open space in lieu of the minimum 14 feet open space; and a above grade swimming pool with a 6.4 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet rear yard and a 1.7 feet rear yard open space in lieu of the minimum 14 feet open space as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(A), and Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a), Ordinance 97-88.

The subject property is located at Komohana Kai, Lot 60, Unit II, File Plan 1737, at Holualoa 3rd, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-7-021:060.

#### SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 15,544 square feet of land area.
2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:

004682  
JUN 0 2 1999

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 2

May 27, 1999

- a. Building Permit No. 895292 opened on March 7, 1989 and closed on November 7, 1989 for the construction of a single family dwelling.
  - b. Building Permit No. 905003 opened on January 3, 1990 and closed on February 9, 1995 for a swimming pool.
3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on March 13, 1999, shows the existing two story single family dwelling with a 18.0 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 2 feet into the required 20 feet front yard.
  4. The survey shows the existing two story single family dwelling with a 11.6 front yard open space in lieu of the minimum 14 feet open space. The dwelling encroaches 2 feet 4-7/8 inches into the required 14 feet open space.
  5. The survey shows the existing above grade swimming pool with a 6.4 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet rear yard. The swimming pool encroaches 13 feet 7-1/4 inches into the 20 feet rear yard.
  6. The survey shows existing above grade swimming pool with a 1.7 feet rear yard open space in lieu of the minimum 14 feet open space. The swimming pool encroaches 12 feet 3-5/8 inches into the 14 feet open space.
  7. When the building permit was approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling and swimming pool.
  8. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1989.
  9. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structures on the property. This occurred in 1989 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
  10. It has been over 10 years since the construction of the existing dwelling and improvements were approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz  
Page 3  
May 27, 1999

11. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on March 22, 1999.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

#### ALTERNATIVES

1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

#### INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing dwelling encroaches 2 feet into the required 20 feet front yard and 2 feet 4-7/8 inches into the required 14 feet open space. The existing above grade swimming pool encroaches 13 feet 7-1/4 inches into the 20 feet rear yard and 12 feet 3-5/8 inches into the 14 feet open space. These encroachments into the front yard, rear yard and open space will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 feet front yard, 20 feet rear yard and 14 feet open space in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz  
Page 4  
May 27, 1999

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.


This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,

  
VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN  
Planning Director

EMM: rld  
a:\77021060\vmack.app

xc: West Hawaii Office