

Virginia Goldstein

Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CERTIFIED MAIL Z 472 692 345 25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

August 16, 1999

Mr. Robert D. Triantos Carlsmith Ball P.O. Box 1720 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745

Dear Mr. Triantos:

Variance Application WH(VAR 99-053)

Variance No. 1044

Applicant: ROBERT D. TRIANTOS

Owners: CLYDE K. AND JEANETTE H.I. KUTSUNAI Request: Variance From Minimum Side Yard Requirements

Tax Map Key: 7-5-026: 002

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an existing one story single family dwelling with a 7.70 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 8 feet side yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(A) (B) Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. We have been working within the department to improve the efficiency of this process which will hopefully result in more timely responses to future applications. Your patience is appreciated

The subject property is located at Kona Heights Subdivision, Lot 29, Increment 1, File Plan 1075, at Hienaloli 6th, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-5-026: 002.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 7,512 square feet of land area.

Mr. Robert D. Triantos Page 2 August 16, 1999

- 2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permit:
 - a. Building Permit No. 3603 opened on May 14, 1979 and closed on August 1, 1980 for the construction of a single family dwelling.
- 3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on May 25, 1999 shows the existing one story single family dwelling with a 7.70 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 8 feet side yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 3-5/8 inches into the required 8 feet side yard.
- 4. When the building permit was approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 5. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1979.
- 6. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structures on the property. This occurred in 1979 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 7. It has been over 20 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
- 8. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on June 14, 1999.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

Mr. Robert D. Triantos Page 3 August 16, 1999

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. The existing dwelling encroaches 3-5/8 inches into the required 8 feet side yard. This encroachment into the side yard will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 8 feet side yard in this particular case, the encroachment will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be

Mr. Robert D. Triantos Page 4 August 16, 1999

submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.

- 3. All encroachments within the County right-of-way shall be removed.
- 4. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN

Planning Director

EMM: rld

a:\75026002\vkutsunai.app

xc: West Hawaii Office