


Stephen K. Yamashiro
Mayor



Virginia Goldstein
Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252
(808) 961-8238 • Fax (808) 961-8742

CERTIFIED MAIL

Z 472 692 383

November 18, 1999

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
P.O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 99-069)
Variance No. 1059
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owners: MICHAEL K. AND MARGARET HENRIQUES
Request: Variance From Minimum Side Yard Requirements
Tax Map Key: 7-8-015: 023

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow a one story single family dwelling and shed with a 13.7 to 14.6 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 15 feet side yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 5, Section 25-5-56(2), Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. We have been working within the department to improve the efficiency of this process which will hopefully result in more timely responses to future applications. Your patience is appreciated

The subject property is located at Kahaluu-Keauhou Houselots, Lot 23-A, Being a Portion of R.P. 6856 L.C. Award 7713:6, at Kahaluu, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-8-015:023.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 25,700 square feet of land area.

010783

NOV 24 1999

Plus by K. Conventz

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 2

November 18, 1999

2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:
 - a. Building Permit No. 42730 opened on September 30, 1969 and closed on January 30, 1970 for the construction of a single family dwelling and carport. This single family dwelling is located to the rear of the property with access off of a private easement.
 - b. Building Permit No. 925449 opened on March 20, 1992 and closed on September 17, 1992 for the construction of an ohana dwelling. The ohana dwelling is located directly off Mamalahoa Highway and is not the subject of this variance application.
2. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on July 22, 1999 shows a two story single family dwelling, carport and shed with a 13.7 to 14.6 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 15 feet side yard. The applicant's letter dated August 5, 1999 states: "The survey reflects a 2-story building which is a misinterpretation by surveyor. It is a single-story dwelling on posts and piers." As such, the one story single family dwelling, carport and shed encroach 4-7/8 inches to 1 foot 3-5/8 inches into the required 15 feet side yard.
3. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling and shed.
4. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling, carport and shed in 1969.
5. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1969 when the dwelling, carport and shed were constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
6. It has been over 30 years since the construction of the existing dwelling, carport and shed were approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
7. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on August 16, 1999.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
Page 3
November 18, 1999

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling and attached carport to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling, carport and shed encroach 4-7/8 inches to 1 foot 3-5/8 inches into the required 15 feet side yard. These encroachments into the side yard will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 15 feet side yard in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
Page 4
November 18, 1999


This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,



VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN
Planning Director

EMM: rld
a:\78015023\vhennesapp

xc: West Hawaii Office