


Stephen K. Yamashiro
Mayor



Virginia Goldstein
Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

CERTIFIED MAIL
Z 472 692 391

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

October 28, 1999

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
P.O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 99-075)
Variance No. 1065
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owners: SHIN KENSETSU COMPANY LTD.
Request: Variance From Minimum Rear Yard and Open Space Requirements
Tax Map Key: 6-2-008: 021

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow a two story single family dwelling with a 23.7 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 25 feet rear yard and a 18.4 feet rear yard open space in lieu of the minimum 19 feet open space as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(A) and Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a), Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. We have been working within the department to improve the efficiency of this process which will hopefully result in more timely responses to future applications. Your patience is appreciated.

The subject property is located at Lot 21, Fairways at Mauna Kea North, File Plan 1753, Being a Portion of R.P. 2237, L.C. Aw. 8518-B; Ap. 1 to Kanehoa, at Ouli, Waimea, South Kohala, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 6-2-008: 021

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 29,886 square feet of land area.
2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:

OCT 29 1999

010097

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 2

October 28, 1999

- a. Building Permit No. 896640 opened on November 20, 1989 and closed on October 8, 1990 for the construction of a single family dwelling.
 - b. Building Permit No. 905837 opened on June 13, 1990 for the construction of a swimming pool. The permit remains open.
3. A survey map prepared by Wes Thomas Associates on August 11, 1999 shows the one story single family dwelling with a 23.7 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 25 feet rear yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1 foot 3-5/8 inches into the required 25 feet rear yard.
 4. The survey map shows the one story single family dwelling with a 18.4 feet rear yard open space in lieu of the minimum 19 feet open space. As such the dwelling encroaches 7-1/4 inches into the required 19 feet open space.
 5. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
 6. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1989.
 7. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1989 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
 8. It has been over 10 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which they had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
 9. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on August 25, 1999.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 3

October 28, 1999

ALTERNATIVES

1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling and attached garage to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1 foot 3-5/8 inches into the required 25 feet rear yard and 7-1/4 inches into the required 19 feet open space. These encroachments into the rear yard and open space will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 25 feet rear yard and 19 feet open space in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 4


October 28, 1999

2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. Building Permit No. 905837 shall be brought to closure or withdrawn.
4. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,



VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN
Planning Director

EMM: rld

a:\62008021\vkensetsu.app

xc: West Hawaii Office