


Stephen K. Yamashiro
Mayor



Virginia Goldstein
Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

CERTIFIED MAIL

7099 3220 0000 4869 9491

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

April 3, 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
P.O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 00-009)
Variance No. 1104
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owner: THOMAS J. BURNS
Request: Variance From Minimum Front Yard and Side Yard Requirements
Tax Map Key: 7-7-011:033

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an existing two story single family dwelling with a 19.9 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard and a 9.8 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(A) and (B), Ordinance 97-88.

The subject property is located at Sunset View Terrace Lots, Unit 11, Lot 33, at Holualoa 4th, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-7-011:033.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 11,325 square feet of land area.
2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:
 - a. Building Permit No. 2088 opened on September 23, 1976 and closed on April 11, 1977 for the construction of a single family dwelling.
 - b. Building Permit No. 906223 opened on September 10, 1990 and closed on March 14, 1991 to remodel the single family dwelling.

APR 04 2000
003462 APR 04 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
Page 2
April 3, 2000

- c. Building Permit No. 975130 opened on February 20, 1997 and closed on March 31, 1997 for roofing over deck.
3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on January 25, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 19.9 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches into the required 20 feet front yard.
4. The survey map shows the two story single family dwelling with a 9.8 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 2-3/8 inches into the required 10 feet side yard.
5. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
6. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1976 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
7. It has been over 24 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which he had no control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
8. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on January 28, 2000.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

1. The owner on his own volition is honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by him. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
Page 3
April 3, 2000

2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches into the required 20 foot front yard and 2-3/8 inches into the required 10 foot side yard. These encroachments will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 foot front yard and 10 foot side yard in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. All encroachments within the County right-of-way on Leilani Street shall be removed.
4. The carport shall be removed.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 4


April 3, 2000

5. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,



VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN
Planning Director

EMM: rld

a:\77011033\vburns.app

xc: West Hawaii Office