



Virginia Goldstein

Director

Russell Kokubun
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

CERTIFIED MAIL 7099 3220 0000 4868 7689

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

May 10, 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz P.O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 00-020)

Variance No. 1117

Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ Owner: PHYLISS T. HARRIS TRUST

Request: Variance From Minimum Side Yard Requirements

Tax Map Key: 7-7-021:051

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request to allow an existing one story single family dwelling with a 8.5 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(B), Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. At the present time there is a shortage of staff. This personnel shortage will be resolved and result in more timely responses to future applications. Your patience is appreciated.

The subject property is located at Komohana Kai Subdivision, Lot 51, Komohana Kai, Unit II, File Plan 1737, at Holualoa 3, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-7-021: 051.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- 1. The subject property consists of 15,175 square feet of land area.
- 2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 2 May 10, 2000

- a. Building Permit No. K08763 opened on November 16, 1987 and closed on June 2, 1988 for a single family dwelling.
- b. Building Permit No. 895471 opened on April 17, 1989 and closed on June 19, 1989 for a deck and spa.
- c. Building Permit No. 896297 opened on September 12, 1989 and closed on January 9, 1990 to enclose the utility/storage with open deck.
- d. Building Permit No. 915185 opened on February 20, 1991 and closed on July 5, 1991 for a detached garage and carport.
- 3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on February 24, 2000 shows the one story single family dwelling with a 8.5 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1 foot 6 inches into the required 10 feet side yard.
- 4. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 5. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1987 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 6. It has been over 13 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which she had no control over and have honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
- 7. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on February 29, 2000.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 3 May 10, 2000

ALTERNATIVES

- 1. The owners on their own volition are honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by them. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1 foot 6 inches into the required 10 feet side yard. These encroachments will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while Zoning Code requires a minimum 10 feet side yard in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 4 May 10, 2000

3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Eleanor Mirikitani of this department.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA GOLDSTEIN

Planning Director

EMM: rld

a:\77021051\vharris.app

xc: West Hawaii Office