

# County of Hawaii PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**CERTIFIED MAIL** 7000 0600 0024 2904 6792

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

December 13, 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz P.O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 00-072)

Variance No. 1170

Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ Owner: BROWN FAMILY TRUST

Request: Variance From Minimum Front Yard and Side Yard Requirements

Tax Map Key: 7-7-011: 041

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval to allow the existing two story single family dwelling with a 19.9 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard, and a 9.9 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(1)(A)(B), Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. Your patience is appreciated.

The subject property is located at Lot 41 of sunset View Terrace Lots, Unit II, file Plan 684 at Holualoa 4th, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-7-011: 041.

#### SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- The subject property consists of 10,000 square feet of land area. 1.
- 2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits:
  - Building Permit No. K02332 opened on March 18, 1977 and closed on a. September 16, 1977 for a new single family dwelling.

DEC. 1 9 2000 SONTO TO CONTA PLACE EUNIVENOTZ WILL PLA

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 2 December 13, 2000

- b. Building Permit No. K02407 opened on May 5, 1977 and closed on August 1, 1977 for a revision to the footing for the new dwelling under construction.
- c. Building Permit No. 006239 opened on September 15, 2000 and closed on October 25, 2000 to enclose lower level for Ohana with 2 bedroom, bath, kitchen, eating area and covered lanai.
- 3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on August 16, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 19.9 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet front yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches into the required 20 feet front yard.
- 4. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on August 16, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 9.9 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 10 feet side yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches into the required 10 feet side yard.
- 5. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
- 6. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1977.
- 7. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1977 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
- 8. It has been over 23 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which he had no control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
- 9. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on September 5, 2000.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz Page 3 December 13, 2000

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

### **ALTERNATIVES**

- 1. The owner on his own volition is honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by him. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
- 2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

## **INTENT AND PURPOSE**

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling and improvements encroach 1-1/4 inches into the required 20 feet front yard, and 1-1/4 inches into the required 10 feet side yard setback.

These encroachments into the front and side yard will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 feet front yard and 10 feet side yard in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 4

December 13, 2000

- 1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
- 3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Roxanne Delaries of this department at 327-3510.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER J. YUEN

Planning Director

RLD:rld

a:\77011041\brown.app

xc: West Hawaii Office