

Harry Kim
Mayor



Christopher J. Yuen
Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

CERTIFIED MAIL

7000 0600 0024 2904 6785

December 13, 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
P.O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 00-073)
Variance No. 1171
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owner: FLOYD FAMILY TRUST
Request: Variance From Minimum Front Yard and Side Yard and Open Space
Requirements
Tax Map Key: 7-6-017: 044

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval to allow the existing two story single family dwelling with a 14.8 to 14.9 feet front yard lieu of the minimum 15 feet front yard, a 4.3 to 7.9 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 8 feet side yard and a 3.4 to 3.8 feet side yard open space in lieu of the minimum 4 feet side yard open space as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, division 7, Section 25-5-7(a)(1)(A)(B), Ordinance 97-88, and Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a).

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. Your patience is appreciated.

The subject property is located at Lot 30 of the partition of the Holualoa 1 & 2 Hui lands at Holualoa 1st and 2nd, North Kona, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 7-6-017: 044.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 3,750 square feet of land area.
2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permits: 012699

SENT TO KONA PLNG.
CONVENTZ W/MP/U

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 2

December 13, 2000

- a. Building Permit No. 925057 opened on January 15, 1992 and closed on August 19, 1992 for new single family dwelling.
 - b. Building Permit No. 975608 opened on July 30, 1997 for a solar water heater. The permit still remains open.
3. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on August 29, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 14.8 to 14.9 feet front yard in lieu of the minimum 15 feet front yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches to 2-3/8 inches into the required 15 feet front yard.
 4. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on August 29, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 4.3 to 7.9 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 8 feet side yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 1-1/4 inches to 3 feet 8-3/8 inches into the required 8 feet side yard.
 5. A survey map prepared by KKM Surveys on August 29, 2000 shows the two story single family dwelling with a 3.4 to 3.8 feet side yard open space in lieu of the minimum 4 feet side yard open space. As such, the dwelling encroaches 2-3/8 inches to 7-1/4 inches into the required 4 feet side yard open space.
 6. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
 7. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1992.
 8. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1992 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
 9. It has been over 8 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which he had no control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
 10. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on September 5, 2000.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 3

December 13, 2000

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

1. The owner on his own volition is honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by him. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.
2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling and improvements encroach 1-1/4 inches to 2-3/8 inches into the required 15 feet front yard, 1-1/4 inches to 3 feet 8-3/8 inches into the required 8 feet side yard and 2-3/8 inches to 7-1/4 inches into the required 4 feet side yard open space.

These encroachments into the front and side yard will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 15 feet front yard, 8 feet side yard and 4 feet side yard open space in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 4

December 13, 2000

detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.


This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. Building Permit No. 975608 shall be finalized and closed with the Department of Public Works, Building Division.
4. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Roxanne Delaries of this department at 327-3510.

Sincerely,



CHRISTOPHER J. YUEN

Planning Director

RLD:rld

a:\76017044\floyd.app

xc: West Hawaii Office