


Harry Kim
Mayor



Christopher J. Yuen
Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

CERTIFIED MAIL

7000 0600 0024 2904 6778

December 13, 2000

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
P.O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96745-2308

Dear Mr. Conventz:

Variance Application WH(VAR 00-074)
Variance No. 1172
Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owners: JON E. & DIANNE M DOHERTY
Request: Variance From Minimum Rear Yard Requirements
Tax Map Key: 6-8-004: 018

After reviewing your application and the additional information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval to allow the existing one story single family dwelling with a 17.5 to 17.9 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet rear yard as required by Ordinance 96-160, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7(a)(2)(A), Ordinance 97-88.

Please accept our sincere apologies for this tardy confirmation of the approval granted to allow the requested variance. Your patience is appreciated.

The subject property is located at Lot 44 of Waikoloa village, Unit 1-A, file Plan 1188, being a portion of R.P. 5671, L.C.Aw. 8521-B, Ap. 1 to G.D, Huel at Waikoloa, South Kohala, Hawaii, Tax Map Key: 6-8-004: 018.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. The subject property consists of 11,184 square feet of land area.
2. The subject single family dwelling was issued the following building permit:
 - a. Building Permit No. 925841 opened on June 18, 1992 and closed on December 20, 1997 for a new single family dwelling.

012698

DEC 19 2000

SENT TO KONA PLDGS
DISTRIBUTION UNIT 111

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
Page 2
December 13, 2000

3. A survey map prepared by Wes Thomas Associates on August 18, 2000 shows the one story single family dwelling with a 17.5 to 17.9 feet rear yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet rear yard. As such, the dwelling encroaches 2 feet 1-1/4 inches to 2 feet 6 inches into the required 20 feet rear yard.
4. When the building permits were approved, the owner received all of the necessary Department of Public Works, Building Division approvals for the dwelling.
5. When approved by the Planning Department, the plans would have had to have shown that all minimum required setbacks were going to be adhered to for the dwelling in 1992.
6. There appears to have been a construction staking error in the siting of the structure on the property. This occurred in 1992 when the dwelling was constructed. There also appears to have been a very minor siting error made at the time of construction with the encroachment. No other evidence has been found to show otherwise.
7. It has been over 8 years since the construction of the existing dwelling was approved by the County, and the applicant is trying to resolve a situation which he had no control over and has honestly conducted a certified survey to ensure the disclosure of all facts concerning the dwelling and improvements.
8. The variance application was filed with the Planning Department on September 5, 2000.

There were no objections from any adjacent or surrounding property owners.

Therefore, considering the foregoing facts, the Planning Director has determined that there are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the subject property.

ALTERNATIVES

1. The owner on his own volition is honestly trying to resolve this long standing problem which was not created by him. The investigation of this particular matter has not revealed any deliberate or intentional grounds in allowing the encroachments to occur.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz

Page 3

December 13, 2000

2. Any architectural alterations or design changes to the dwelling to conform with the minimum setbacks would create undue and excessive hardships for the applicant when other more reasonable options are available.

Based on the above cited considerations, there are no reasonable solutions available without excessive demands being placed on the owners when a more reasonable alternative is available by the granting of this variance application.

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision is to assure that adequate air and light circulation is available between structures and property lines. As such, the dwelling and improvements encroach 2 feet 1-1/4 inches to 2 feet 6 inches into the required 20 feet rear yard.

These encroachments into the rear yard will not diminish the ability for adequate light and air to circulate and will still provide adequate open space. Therefore, while the Zoning Code requires a minimum 20 feet rear yard in this particular case, the encroachments will not visually or physically impact or be adverse to any adjacent properties or development with the granting of this variance. The rest of the existing dwelling complies with the minimum yard requirements of the Zoning Code.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, this variance will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This variance request is approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. The owner, assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The approval of this variance shall be included in the conveyance document for the subject property and a copy of the recorded conveyance document shall be submitted to the Planning Department within a year from the effective date of approval of this variance.
3. All other applicable State and County rules and regulations shall be complied with.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz


Page 4

December 13, 2000

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Roxanne Delaries of this department at 327-3510.

Sincerely,



CHRISTOPHER J. YUEN

Planning Director

RLD:rld

a:\68004018\doherty.app

xc: West Hawaii Office