


Harry Kim
Mayor



Christopher J. Yuen
Director

Roy R. Takemoto
Deputy Director

County of Hawaii

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-3043
(808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-8742

June 9, 2003

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
P. O. Box 2308
Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. Conventz:

VARIANCE PERMIT NO. 1367 WH (VAR 03-015)

Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ
Owners: DAVID W. RODGERS, ET AL.
Request: Variance from Minimum Yards,
Chapter 25, the Zoning Code
Tax Map Key: 8-2-009:090, Lot 58

After reviewing your application and the information submitted, the Planning Director certifies the approval of your variance request subject to conditions. Variance Permit No. 1367 allows the 2-Story Dwelling to remain on the subject TMK property (Lot 58), "AS-BUILT", and permit additional building improvement according to the variance application's site plan map dated and signed on January 27, 2003 together with other supplemental site plan submittals. The variance allows the portions of the dwelling or/and proposed building improvements with a minimum 17.5 feet to 18.1 feet side yard in lieu of the minimum 20 feet side yard required from the affected boundary line. The variance request is from the subject property's minimum yards, pursuant to the Hawaii County Zoning Code, Chapter 25, Article 5, Division 7, Section 25-5-76, Minimum yards, (a).

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS

1. **Location.** The subject property, Lot 58 containing 43,564 square feet, is located within McCoy Plantation Subdivision, Increment 1, Part B, and situated at Kalamakowali, South Kona, Hawaii.

0364990
JUN 12 2003

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
Page 2
June 9, 2003

The property is zoned Agricultural (A-1a) by the County and designated Urban "U" by the Land Use Commission (LUC).

2. **Variance Application-Site Plan.** The applicant submitted the variance application, attachments, and filing fee to the Kona Planning Department on or about February 20, 2003. The applicant's variance application site plan or map drawing is drawn to scale and dated/signed on January 27, 2003. The map by KKM SURVEYS identifies and denotes the building envelope, "Dwelling-Garage-Carport", roof eave positions, and other site improvements on "LOT 58".

Note: The variance request does not address the cesspool or other individual wastewater system (IWS) location or other site improvements straddling property lines or common boundary lines shared with adjoining lots. Any other boundary encroachments must be addressed and resolved by the applicant or between the current property owner(s) and adjoining property owner(s) or affected agencies.

3. **Agency Comments and Requirements-WH (VAR 03-015):**

- a. The County of Hawaii Fire Department memorandum dated May 6, 2003, states:

"We have no comments to offer at this time regarding the above-referenced variance application."

- b. Department of Public Works (DPW): No comments were received.

Note: County permit records show an electrical permit issued to the TMK on or about June 3, 1983 is open and should be "closed". (Refer to variance conditions).

- c. State Department of Health (DOH): No comments were received.

Note: Pursuant to a June 6, 2003 discussion with the DOH (Hilo): "No Comment".

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
Page 3
June 9, 2003

4. **Notice to Surrounding Property Owners.** Proof of mailing a first and second notice was submitted to the Planning Department. For the record, it appears that the first and second notice was mailed on February 24, 2003 and April 3, 2003 by the applicant.
5. **Comments from Surrounding Property Owners or Public.** No further written agency comments or objections from surrounding property owners or public were received.

SPECIAL AND UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES

The applicant, on behalf of the current owners, is trying to resolve building position issues. The variance application's site plan map was prepared by a surveyor and shows the dwelling position and other site improvements, "AS BUILT", on "Lot 58". The applicant and current owners became aware of the dwelling encroachment issues after the survey map was prepared. No evidence has been found to show indifference or premeditation by the past owner(s)/builders or current owners to deliberately create or intentionally allow the building encroachment problems to occur. It appears that the original building improvements were constructed 20 + years ago under valid building permit(s) and other construction permits issued by the County. It appears that building inspections of the premises in 1983 by the agencies during construction of the building improvements did not disclose any building encroachment issues or building setback irregularities at that time.

ALTERNATIVES

Alternatives available to the applicant to address and correct the existing building encroachments include the following actions:

1. Removing the existing building encroachments or redesigning or relocating the dwelling and proposed improvements to fit within the correct building envelope prescribed by the Zoning Code.
2. Consolidation with portions of the adjoining property (Lot 59) and resubdivision of the resultant lot to modify property lines and adjustment of minimum yards.

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
Page 4
June 9, 2003

INTENT AND PURPOSE

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision are to assure that adequate air circulation and exposure to light are available between permitted structure(s)/uses and boundary/property lines.

The original dwelling encroachment issues constructed on or about 1983 within the affected yards are not physically noticeable or visually obtrusive from adjacent property(s) or the right-of-way. It is felt that the proposed building alterations or additions will not be obtrusive or detract from the character of the surrounding neighborhood, public uses, and surrounding land pattern. Therefore, it is felt that the original dwelling and proposed building improvements within the affected minimum yards identified on the variance application's site plan map dated January 27, 2003 and proposed improvements shown on the supplemental site plan map will not detract from the character of the immediate neighborhood or the subdivision.

The subject variance application was acknowledged by letter dated March 24, 2003 and additional time to consider building permit history and other agency concerns was deemed necessary. The applicant, on behalf of the current owners, agreed to extend the date to no later than June 15, 2003.

Based on the foregoing findings, this variance request would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, the variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

PLANNING DIRECTOR'S DECISION AND VARIANCE CONDITIONS

This variance request is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant/owner, their assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
2. The applicant/owner(s), successors or assigns shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawaii harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim, or demand for the property damage, personal injury, or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicants/owners, their successors or assigns, officers,


Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
Page 5
June 9, 2003

employees, contractors, or agents under this variance or relating to or connected with the granting of this variance.

3. Portions of a Dwelling and proposed "Garage" and "Deck Lanai" located on "LOT 58" will not meet Chapter 25, the Zoning Code's minimum yard requirements according to the variance application's site plan map dated and signed January 27, 2003 and other supplemental drawings. The approval of this variance allows the dwelling and proposed building improvements including roof eaves to be permitted on "LOT 58" identified on the variance application's site plan map and supplemental maps.
4. The building permit and necessary construction permits for the proposed Garage and Lanai Deck improvements shall be secured from the DPW on or before June 15, 2005.
5. Electrical permit (EH62012) shall be closed or "finaled" by the DPW-Building Division prior to any change in title or sale of the property.
6. No permit shall be granted to allow an ohana dwelling or building permit issued to allow construction of an "ohana" dwelling shall be granted to Lot 58 or the subject TMK property.
7. Future building improvements and permitted uses on the subject tax map key property are subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction and building occupancy.

Should any of the foregoing conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare this Variance Permit null and void.

Sincerely,


CHRISTOPHER J. YUEN
Planning Director

WRY:pak
P:\WP60\WRY\FORMLETT\VARAPPZCTMK82009090.BC

Mr. Klaus D. Conventz
dba Baumeister Consulting
Page 6
June 9, 2003

xc: Real Property Tax - Kona
Planning Department - Kona