William P. Kenoi

West Hawai'i Office

Phone (808) 323-4770

Fax (808) 327-3563

County of Hawai'i
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Duane Kanuha

Bobby Command Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742

December 10, 2013

74-5044 Ane Keohokalole Hwy

Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740

Mr. Klaus Conventz Baumeister Consulting P. O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. Conventz:

SUBJECT: VARIANCE APPLICATION – VAR-13-000170

Applicant: KLAUS D. CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING

Owners: CLAYTON D. TREMAINE JR. AND

MARY ANN P.L. TREMAINE

Request: Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5, Division 1,

Section 25-5-7, Minimum Yards and Article 4, division 4, Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open Space Requirements (Encroachment into North and South

Side Yard Setback)

TMK: 7-3-057:007, Lot 27

After reviewing your variance application, the Planning Director certifies the **approval** of VAR-13-000170, subject to variance conditions. The variance will allow portion of the single-family dwelling to remain "as built" with a 5.8-foot side (south) yard setback with associated 2.2 feet side yard open space. It also allows for the northern corner of the carport's bathroom/laundry addition to remain with a 4.5-foot side yard setback and associated 1.5-foot side yard open space. These exceptions are in lieu of the required 8-foot side yard setback and 4-foot side yard open space, as required by the Hawaii County Code, Chapter 25, Zoning, Section 25-5-7, Minimum yards.

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS

- 1. **Location**. The subject property, consisting of approximately 11,429 square feet of land, is located in the University Heights West Subdivision and is situated at Makaula, North Kona, Hawai'i. The subject property's street address is 73-1147 Kahuna Ao Road.
- 2. **Zoning**. Single-Family Residential 7,500 square feet (RS-7.5).

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 2 December 10, 2013

- 3. State Land Use. Urban (U).
- 4. **Required Setback.** Flag lot 8 feet side yards. The minimum yards for a flag lot, excluding the access drive, shall be the minimum side yards required for a building site in the applicable zoning district.
- 5. Variance Application-Site Plan. The applicant submitted the variance application, attachments, and filing fee on September 4, 2013, and other submittals related to the variance application. The variance application's site plan map is drawn to scale and was prepared by Chrystal T. Thomas L.P.L.S (Wes Thomas Associates). The map denotes portions of the single-family dwelling built into the north front yard setback and south side yard setback.

The owner/applicant submitted the variance application to address or resolve the encroachment of the single-family dwelling into the 8-foot north and south side yard setback, as required by the Zoning Code.

The survey map shows that portions of the single-family dwelling encroaches 2.2 feet into the side (south) yard setback with an associated 1.8 feet into the side (south) yard open space. It also shows that the carport's bathroom/laundry addition intrude 3.5 feet into the side (north) yard setback and associated roof eave encroach 2.5 feet into the side (north) yard open space.

6. County Building Records. Hawaii County Real Property Tax Division records indicate that a building permit (015370) was issued on March 20, 2001, for the construction of a 3-bedroom and 3-bath single-family dwelling. An additional building permit (04-0592K) was issued on April 13, 2004 for an addition of a new carport with bath and laundry and conversion of the existing garage into a family room.

7. Agency Comments and Requirements.

- a. The State Department of Health (DOH) memorandum, dated September 26, 2013. (See attached memorandum)
- b. No comments were received from the Department of Public Works-Building Division.
- 8. Notice to Surrounding Property Owners. The applicant submitted a copy of notice mailed to surrounding property owners (including affidavits) by U.S.P.S. According to these submittals, the first and second notice(s) were mailed on September 4, 2013 and September 19, 2013, respectively. Notice of this application was published in the Hawaii Tribune Herald and West Hawaii Today on September 22, 2013.
- 9. Time Extension. The applicant's variance application was received on September 4, 2013

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 3 December 10, 2013

and additional time to review the application was required. The applicant granted the Planning Director an extension of time to issue a decision on the Variance Application until December 31, 2013.

10. Comments from Surrounding Property Owners or Public. No written comments or objections from surrounding property owners or general public were received by the Planning Department.

ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR VARIANCE

No variance will be granted unless it is found that:

(a) There are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject real property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available, or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the property.

The variance application meets criteria (a) for the following reasons:

The owner/applicant submitted the variance application to address or resolve the encroachment of the single-family dwelling into the 8-foot side (south) yard setback and the carport's bathroom and laundry room enclosure into the 8-foot side (north) yard setback as required by the Zoning Code.

The survey map prepared by Chrystal Thomas Yamasaki, L.P.L.S. (Wes Thomas Associates) shows that portion of the single-family dwelling encroaches 2.2 feet into the side (south) yard setback with an associated 1.8 feet into the side (south) yard open space. It also shows that the carport's bathroom/ laundry addition intrudes 3.5 feet into the side (north) yard setback and associated roof eave encroaches 2.5 feet into the side (north) yard open space.

The applicant has stated: "It appears that the initial house was, due to the irregular shape of the land, subject to a staking error by predecessor's contractor, with the consequential following staking error in the area of the bathroom/laundry addition".

No evidence has been found to show indifference or premeditation by the past owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the building encroachment problems to occur.

It appears that the original dwelling improvements constructed nearly 12 years ago were constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County. It also appears that past building permit inspections of the premises by the agencies during construction of the dwelling improvements did not disclose any building encroachment issues or building setback irregularities at that time.

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 4 December 10, 2013

The above special and unusual circumstance determines the owner was not at fault in creating the encroachment violation and requiring them to fix the encroachments would interfere with the best use and development of the property.

(b) There are no other reasonable alternatives that would resolve the difficulty.

The variance application meets criterion (b) for the following reasons:

Alternatives available to the current owners to correct and/or address the building encroachments constructed into the affected northern front yard setback and southern side yard setback of the subject property include the following actions:

Remove the building encroachments and/or redesign or relocate the single-story dwelling constructed upon the subject property to fit within the correct building envelope denoted on the recent survey map as prescribed by the Zoning Code. Any structural or design correction of the single-family dwelling to meet setback requirement would leave unattractive reconstruction scars.

Because the encroachment is within the north and south side yard setback, to consolidate the subject property with the adjacent properties and to re-subdivide the property to modify property lines and adjust minimum side yard setbacks is not a viable option. Therefore, there are no reasonable alternatives to resolve the encroachment issue.

(c) The variance is consistent with the general purpose of the district, the intent and purpose of this chapter, and the general plan, and will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare or cause substantial, adverse impact to an area's character or to adjoining properties.

The variance application meets criteria (c) for the following reasons:

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks on a lot are to assure that adequate air circulation and exposure to light are available between permitted structure(s)/uses and boundary/property lines.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan.

Furthermore, the variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties. This can be substantiated, to some degree, by the fact that this office did not receive any complaints from surrounding property owners during the roughly 28 years since the single-family dwelling with lanai was constructed.

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 5 December 10, 2013

Further, objections were not received from the surrounding property owners or general public in response to the Notification of Surrounding Property Owners. As such, it is felt that the issuance of this variance will not depreciate or detract from the character of the immediately surrounding properties.

Based on the above findings, granting of the variance would be consistent with the criteria and intent of approving a variance.

PLANNING DIRECTOR'S DECISION AND VARIANCE CONDITIONS

This variance request is **approved** subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant/owner, their assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The applicant/owner(s), successors or assigns shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawaii harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim, or demand for the property damage, personal injury, or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicants/owners, their successors or assigns, officers, employees, contractors, or agents under this variance or relating to or connected with the granting of this variance.
- 3. No permit shall be granted to allow an ohana or farm dwelling upon the subject property, subject to provisions of the Zoning Code or State law which may be changed from time to time.
- 4. Portion of the single-family residence and the carport's bathroom and laundry room addition built upon the subject property ("LOT 27) will not meet the minimum side yard and side yard open space requirements pursuant to Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning Code), in accordance to the site map dated July 31, 2013.
- 5. Should the single-family dwelling with lanai (footprint) on the subject property be destroyed by fire or other natural causes, the replacement structure shall comply with the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning) and be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction occupancy.
- 6. This variance does not apply to the encroachment issue regarding the concrete rock masonry (CRM) wall. These issues shall be addressed by the property owners affected by the CRM wall encroachments.
- 7. Future or new building improvements and permitted uses shall be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction and occupancy.

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 6 December 10, 2013

Should any of the foregoing variance conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare subject Variance VAR-13-000170 null and void

Sincerely,


DUANE KANUHA Planning Director

LHN:nci

P:\Admin Permits Division\Variances From CoH02\Zone7\VAR13-000170TMK7-3-057-007Tremaine.doc.rtf

xc: Planning Department (Kona)

Real Property Tax Division (Kona) Gilbert Bailado, Planning GIS Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 7 December 10, 2013



78B SEP 27 7 14 11: 05



STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P.O. BOX 916 HILO, HAWAII 96721-0916 LORETTA J. FUDDY, A.C.S.W., M.P.H.
Director of Health

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

September 26, 2013

TO:

Duane Kanuha

Planning Director, County of Hawaii

FROM:

Newton Inouye A

District Environmental Health Program Chief

SUBJECT:

Application: Variance - VAR 13-000170

Applicant:

KLAUS D. CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTERCONSULTING

Owner:

CLAYTON D. TREMAINE, JR. AND MARY ANN P.L.

TREMAINE

Request:

Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7 Minimum Yards and Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open Space Requirements (Encroachment into the North and South

Side Yard Setback)

Tax Map Key: 7-3-057:007, Lot 27

The Health Department found no environmental health concerns with regulatory implications in the submittals.