William P. Kenoi Mayor



County of Hawai'i Planning department Duane Kanuha Director

Bobby Command Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742

West Hawai'i Office 74-5044 Ane Keohokalole Hwy Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740 Phone (808) 323-4770 Fax (808) 327-3563

April 30, 2015

Mr. Klaus Conventz Baumeister Consulting P. O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. Conventz:

SUBJECT:	VARIANCE APPLICATION – VAR-14-000242	
	Applicant:	KLAUS D. CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING
	Owners:	HANDGIS TRUST
	Request:	Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 4, Division 4,
		Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open
		Space Requirements and Chapter 25, Article 4, Division 4,
		Section 25-4-43. Fences and Accessory Structures (Encroach-
		ment into the Northeast Side Yard Open Space and Height of
		Accessory Structures)
	<u>TMK:</u>	7-8-012:075; Lot 19-A

The Planning Director certifies the **approval** of VAR-14-000242, subject to variance conditions. The variance will allow the lanai addition roof eave to remain with a 2.90-foot side (northeast) yard open space in lieu of the minimum 4-foot side yard open space as required under Chapter 25. It will also allow for existing rock wall inside the front and side yard setback to remain with a height of 6.75 to 7.33 feet in lieu of the maximum 6 feet above grade. These exceptions are in lieu of the required 5-foot side yard open space, as required by the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, Zoning, Section 25-4-44 (a), Permitted projections into yards and open space requirements, and Section 25-4-43. Fences and Accessory Structures, (c).

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS

- 1. Location. The subject property, consisting of approximately 6,125 square feet of land, is located in the Keauhou Bay Lots and is situated at Keauhou 1st, North Kona, Hawai'i. The subject property's street address is 78-110 Holua Road.
- 2. **Zoning**. Single-Family Residential 10,000 sq. ft. (RS-10).

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 2 April 30, 2015

- 3. State Land Use. Urban.
- 4. Required Setback. 15 feet front and 20-foot (rear) shoreline setback, 8 feet for side yards.
- 5. Variance Application-Site Plan. The applicant submitted the variance application, attachments, and filing fee on October 10, 2014, and other submittals related to the variance request and variance application. The variance application's site plan drawn to scale and prepared by Chrystal Thomas Yamasaki, L.P.L.S., (Wes Thomas & Associates), denotes the portion of the lanai addition built into the side (Northeast) yard open space and the rock wall being over 6 feet in height built into the front and side yard setback.

The survey map prepared by Chrystal Thomas Yamasaki, L.P.L.S., (Wes Thomas & Associates), shows that portion of the lanai roof eave encroaches 0.6 feet (7.2 inches) to 1.1 feet into the 4-foot side (southwest) yard open space and the CRM rock wall is 0.75 (9 inches) to 1.33 feet over the required height limit of 6 feet inside the front (Northwest and the side (northeast) yard setback. (Section 25-4-43 Fences and accessory structures)

6. **County Building Records**. Hawai'i County Real Property Tax Division records indicate that a building permit (33378) was issued on February 16, 1966, for the construction of a 3-bedroom and 1-bath single-family dwelling. A subsequent building permit was issued on July 18, 1972 for the construction of a 552 square foot open lanai.

7. Agency Comments and Requirements.

- a. The State Department of Health (DOH) memorandum, dated October 28, 2014. (See attached memorandum)
- b. No comments received from the Department of Public Works-Building Division (Kona).
- 8. Notice to Surrounding Property Owners. The applicant submitted a copy of notice mailed to surrounding property owners (including affidavits) by U.S.P.S. According to these submittals, the first and second notice(s) were mailed on October 10, 2014 and October 31, 2014, respectively. Notice of this application was published in the Hawai'i Tribune Herald and West Hawai'i Today on October 24, 2014.
- 9. **Time Extension.** The applicant's variance application was received on October 21, 2014 and additional time to review the application was required. The applicant granted the Planning Director an extension of time to issue a decision on the Variance Application until April 30, 2015.
- 10. Comments from Surrounding Property Owners or Public. No written comments or

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 3 April 30, 2015

objections from surrounding property owners or general public were received by the Planning Department.

ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR VARIANCE

No variance will be granted unless it is found that:

(a) There are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject real property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available, or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the property.

The variance application meets criteria (a) for the following reasons:

The survey map prepared by Chrystal Thomas Yamasaki, L.P.L.S., (Wes Thomas & Associates), shows that portion of the lanai roof eave encroaches 0.6 feet (7.2 inches) to 1.1 feet into the 4-foot side (southwest) yard open space and the CRM rock wall is 0.75 (9 inches) to 1.33 feet over the required height limit of 6 feet into the front (northwest and side (northeast) yard setback. (Section 25-4-43 Fences and Accessory structures)

No evidence has been found to allow indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the lanai roof eave encroachment problems and the rock wall along the northeast boundary to be built over the 6-foot height limit to occur.

It appears that the lanai constructed nearly 36 years ago was constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County. It also appears that past building permit inspections of the premises by the agencies during construction of the lanai addition did not disclose any building encroachment issues or building setback irregularities at that time.

The above special and unusual circumstances determine the owner was not at fault in creating the encroachment violation and requiring them to fix the encroachments would interfere with the best use and development of the property.

(b) There are no other reasonable alternatives that would resolve the difficulty.

The variance application meets criterion (b) for the following reasons:

Alternatives available to the current owners to correct and/or address the building encroachments constructed into the affected north side yard setback of the subject property include the following actions:

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 4 April 30, 2015

Remove the building encroachments and/or redesign or relocate the single-family dwelling constructed upon the subject property to fit within the correct building envelope denoted on the recent survey map as prescribed by the Zoning Code. Any structural or design correction of the gazebo to meet setback requirement would leave unattractive reconstruction scars.

Because the encroachment is within the side yard open space, to consolidate the subject property which is owned by someone else and to re-subdivide the property to modify property lines and adjust minimum side yard setbacks are not viable options; therefore, there are no reasonable alternatives to resolve the encroachment issue.

(c) The variance is consistent with the general purpose of the district, the intent and purpose of this chapter, and the general plan, and will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare or cause substantial, adverse impact to an area's character or to adjoining properties.

The variance application meets criteria (c) for the following reasons:

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision are to assure that adequate air circulation and exposure to light are available between permitted structure(s)/ uses and boundary/property lines.

It should be noted that the encroachment and height limit violation are rather miniscule; therefore, it does not have any significant impact and still allows for adequate air circulation and exposure to light.

No evidence has been found to show indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the building encroachment problems to occur.

The variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties. The lanai addition has been in existence for approximately 36 years and was constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County of Hawai'i. As such, it is felt that the issuance of this variance will not depreciate or detract from the character of the surrounding properties.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, the variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This can be substantiated, to some degree, by the fact that no comments or objections were

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 5 April 30, 2015

received from the surrounding property owners or general public in response to the Notification of Surrounding Properties Owners.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan.

PLANNING DIRECTOR'S DECISION AND VARIANCE CONDITIONS

Based on the variance application's survey map, portion or the open lanai roof eave and CRM rock wall built upon the subject property ("LOT 19-A") will not meet the minimum side yard open space requirements pursuant to Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning Code).

This variance request is **approved** subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant/owner, their assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The applicant/owner(s), successors or assigns shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawai'i harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim, or demand for the property damage, personal injury, or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicants/owners, their successors or assigns, officers, employees, contractors, or agents under this variance or relating to or connected with the granting of this variance.
- 3. Subject to provisions of the Zoning Code or State law, which may be changed from time to time, no permit shall be granted to allow an ohana or farm dwelling upon the subject property.
- 4. This variance does not apply to the encroachment issue regarding the CRM (concrete, rock, masonry) wall along the westerly boundary line. These issues shall be addressed by the property owners affected by the CRM wall encroachments.
- 5. Should the lanai addition and rock wall on the subject property be destroyed by fire or other natural causes, the replacement structure shall comply with the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning) and be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction occupancy.
- 6. Future or new building improvements and permitted uses shall be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction and occupancy.

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 6 April 30, 2015

Should any of the foregoing variance conditions not be complied with the Planning Director may proceed to declare subject Variance VAR-14-000242 null and void.

Sincerely,

a 9

DUANE KÀNUHA Planning Director

LHN:nci P:\Admin Permits Division\Variances From CoH02\Zone7\VAR14-000242TMK7-8-012-075HandgisTrust.doc.rtf

xc: Planning Department (Kona) Real Property Tax Division (Kona) Gilbert Bailado, Planning GIS Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 7 April 30, 2015

NEIL ABERC			
GOVERNO			
	((() COUNTY OF HAVAN		
	2014 OCT 30 PN 3 00		
	STATE OF HAWAII		
	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P.O. BOX 916		
	HILO, HAWAII 96721-0916		
MEMORA	ANDUM		
DATE:	October 28, 2014		
DATE.	000001 28, 2014		
TO:	Duane Kanuha		
	Planning Director, County of Hawaii		
FROM			

KOM: Newton Inouye r District Environmental Health Program Chief SUBJECT: Application: Variance-VAR 14-000242 Applicants: KLAUS CONVANTZ dba BAUMEISTER CONSULTING Owner: GEORGE AND SHARON HANDGIS Request: Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7 Minimum Yards; Article 4, Division 4, Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open Space Requirements (Encroachment into the Northeast Front Yard Setback and Southwest Side Yard Setback). Tax Map Key: 7-8-012:075

The Health Department found no environmental health concerns with regulatory implications in the submittals.

WORD: VAR 14-000242.ni

Klaus D. Conventz Baumeister Consulting Page 8 April 30, 2015

