William P. Kenoi Mayor

West Hawai'i Office 74-5044 Ane Keohokalole Hwy Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740 Phone (808) 323-4770 Fax (808) 327-3563

April 2, 2015

County of Hawai'i PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Duane Kanuha Director

Bobby Command Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawaiti 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742

Mr. Klaus Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting P. O. Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. Conventz:

SUBJECT:	VARIANCE APPLICATION – VAR-15-000273				
	Applicant:	KLAUS D. CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING			
	Owners:	JOE AND EUNICE LIEBENBERG			
	Request:	Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5, Division 7, Section 25-5-76, Minimum Yards; Article 4, Division 4, Section			
		25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open Space			
		Requirements (Encroachment into the North Side Yard setback).			
	<u>ТМК:</u>	7-4-016:069; Lot 69			

The Planning Director certifies the approval of Variance No. 14-000254, subject to variance conditions. The variance will allow portions of the single-family dwelling to remain with a minimum 6.77-foot to a minimum 7.41-foot side (north) yard setback, in lieu of the required 8foot side yard setback and associated roof cave projection resulting with a 3.25 feet side (north) side yard open space in lieu of the required 4-foot open space requirement. These exceptions are in lieu of the required 8-foot side yard setback and 4-foot side yard open space, as required by the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, Zoning, Section 25-5-7, Minimum yards and Section 25-4-44 (a), Permitted projections into yards and open space requirements.

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS

Location. The subject property, consisting of approximately 8,337 square feet of land, is 1. located in the Kona Chocho Estates Subdivision (a.k.a. Kona Harbor View) and is situated at Kealakehe, North Kona, Hawai'i. The subject property's street address is 74-5030 Uluaoa Place.



Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 2 April 2, 2015

- 2. Zoning. Single-Family Residential 7,500 sq. ft. (RS-7.5).
- 3. State Land Use. Urban.
- 4. **Required Setback.** Front & Rear 15 feet; Sides 8 feet
- 5. Variance Application-Site Plan. The applicant submitted the variance application, attachments, and filing fee on February 13, 2015, and other submittals related to the variance request and variance application. The variance application's site plan, drawn to scale and prepared by Thomas G. Pattison, L.P.L.S., (Pattison Land Surveying, Inc), denotes the portions of the single-family dwelling built into the side (north) yard setback and associated side (north) yard open space.

The survey map shows that the single-family dwelling encroaches 0.59 feet (7.08 inches) to 1.23 feet (14.76 inches) into the 8-foot side (north) yard setback and 0.75 feet (9 inches) into the 4-foot side yard open space.

The encroachment leaves a minimum 6.77-foot to a minimum 7.41-foot side (north) yard setback, in lieu of the required 8-foot side yard setback and associated roof eave projection resulting with a 3.25 feet side (north) side yard open space in lieu of the required 4-foot open space requirement.

- 6. **County Building Records**. Hawai'i County Real Property Tax Division records indicate that a building permit (B2005-1424K) was issued on September 8, 2005, for the construction of a 3-bedroom and 3-bath single-family dwelling.
- 7. Agency Comments and Requirements.
 - a. The State Department of Health (DOH) memorandum, dated March 18, 2015. (See attached memorandum)
 - b. No comments received from the Hawaii County Public Works Department-Building Division.
- 8. Notice to Surrounding Property Owners. The applicant submitted a copy of notice mailed to surrounding property owners (including affidavits) by U.S.P.S. According to these submittals, the first and second notice(s) were mailed on February 13, 2015 and March 4, 2015, respectively. Notice of this application was published in the Hawai'i Tribune Herald and West Hawai'i Today on February 24, 2015.
- 9. Comments from Surrounding Property Owners or Public. No written comments or objections from surrounding property owners or general public were received by the Planning Department.

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 3 April 2, 2015

ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR VARIANCE

No variance will be granted unless it is found that:

(a) There are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject real property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available, or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the property.

The variance application meets criteria (a) for the following reasons:

The survey map prepared by Thomas G. Pattison, L.P.L.S., (Pattison Land Surveying, Inc.), shows that portion of the single-family dwelling encroaches 0.59 feet (7.08 inches) to 1.23 feet (14.76 inches) into the 8-foot side (north) yard setback and 0.75 feet (9 inches) into the 4-foot side yard open space.

The encroachment leaves a minimum 6.77-foot to a minimum 7.41-foot side (north) yard setback, in lieu of the required 8-foot side yard setback and associated roof eave projection resulting with a 3.25 feet side (north) side yard open space in lieu of the required 4-foot open space requirement.

No evidence has been found to allow indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the single-family dwelling encroachment problems to occur.

It appears that the single-family dwelling constructed nearly 9 years ago was constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County. It also appears that past building permit inspections of the premises by the agencies during construction of the single-family dwelling did not disclose any building encroachment issues or building setback irregularities at that time.

The above special and unusual circumstances determine the owner was not at fault in creating the encroachment violation and requiring them to fix the encroachments would interfere with the best use and development of the property.

(b) There are no other reasonable alternatives that would resolve the difficulty.

The variance application meets criterion (b) for the following reasons:

Alternatives available to the current owners to correct and/or address the building encroachments constructed into the affected north side yard setback of the subject property include the following actions:

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 4 April 2, 2015

Remove the building encroachments and/or redesign or relocate the single-family dwelling constructed upon the subject property to fit within the correct building envelope denoted on the recent survey map as prescribed by the Zoning Code. This alternative would be deemed unreasonable, especially when the owners complied with the building permit process and were under the impression that the single-family dwelling was in compliance with all County requirements. Also, any structural or design correction of the single-family dwelling to meet setback requirement would leave unattractive reconstruction scars.

Because the encroachments are within the side yard setback, to consolidate the subject property which is owned by someone else and to re-subdivide the property to modify property lines and adjust minimum side yard setbacks are not viable options; therefore, there are no reasonable alternatives to resolve the encroachment issue.

(c) The variance is consistent with the general purpose of the district, the intent and purpose of this chapter, and the general plan, and will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare or cause substantial, adverse impact to an area's character or to adjoining properties.

The variance application meets criteria (c) for the following reasons:

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision are to assure that adequate air circulation and exposure to light are available between permitted structure(s)/ uses and boundary/property lines.

No evidence has been found to show indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the building encroachment problems to occur.

The variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties. The single-family dwelling has been in existence for approximately 9 years and was constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County of Hawai'i. As such, it is felt that the issuance of this variance will not depreciate or detract from the character of the surrounding properties.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan. Furthermore, the variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

This can be substantiated, to some degree, by the fact that no comments or objections were

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 5 April 2, 2015

received from the surrounding property owners or general public in response to the Notification of Surrounding Properties Owners.

Therefore, the variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare or cause substantial adverse impact to the arca's character or to adjoining properties.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code and the County General Plan.

PLANNING DIRECTOR'S DECISION AND VARIANCE CONDITIONS

Based on the variance application's survey map, the single-family dwelling and gazebo built upon the subject property (LOT 69) will not meet the minimum rear and side yard setback and open space requirements pursuant to Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning Code).

This variance request is **approved** subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant/owner, their assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The applicant/owner(s), successors or assigns shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawai'i harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim, or demand for the property damage, personal injury, or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicants/owners, their successors or assigns, officers, employees, contractors, or agents under this variance or relating to or connected with the granting of this variance.
- 3. Subject to provisions of the Zoning Code or State law, which may be changed from time to time, no permit shall be granted to allow a farm dwelling upon the subject property.
- 4. Should the single-family dwelling on the subject property be destroyed by fire or other natural causes, the replacement structure shall comply with the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning) and be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction occupancy.
- 5. This variance does not apply to the encroachment issue regarding the CRM (concrete, rock, masonry) wall along the westerly boundary line. These issues shall be addressed by the property owners affected by the CRM wall encroachments.
- 6. Future or new building improvements and permitted uses shall be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction and occupancy.

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 6 April 2, 2015

Should any of the foregoing variance conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare subject Variance VAR-14-000273 null and void.

Sincerely,

DUANE KANUHA

Planning Director

LHN:nci P:\Admin Permits Division\Variances From CoH02\Zone7\VAR15-000273TMK7-4-016-069Liebenberg.doc.rtf

xc: Planning Department (Kona)
Rcal Property Tax Division (Kona)
Gilbert Bailado, Planning GIS

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 7 April 2, 2015

DAVID Y. IGE GOVERNOR OF HAWAII		VIRGINIA PRESS DIRECTOR OF HE			
		STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P.O. BOX 916 HILO, HAWAII 96721-0916	2015 m 2	ALANNA MARANA	
<u>MEMORAN</u>	<u>IDUM</u>			REAL PROPERTY AND	
DATE:	March 17, 20	15	co	4	
TO:	Mr. Duane Ka Planning Dire	anuha ector, County of Hawaii			
FROM:	Newton Inoug District Envir	ус 💣 onmental Health Program Chief			
SUBJECT:	Application: Applicant: Owner: Request: Tax Map Key	Variance – VAR 15:000273 KLAUS D. CONVENTS/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING JOE AND EUNICE LIEBENBERG Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5, Division 1, Section 25-5-7, Minimum Yards, and Section 25-4-44, Permitted Projections into Yards, and Open Space Requirements (Encroachment into Side (North) Yard Setback). <u>F: 7-4-016:069; Lot 69</u>			

The Health Department found no environmental health concerns with regulatory implications in the submittals.

WORD: VAR 15-000273.nj

 $0\,97623$