William P. Kenoi Mayor

West Hawai'i Office

Phone (808) 323-4770

Fax (808) 327-3563



## County of Hawai'i PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Duane Kanuha Director

**Bobby Command** Deputy Director

East Hawai'i Office 101 Pauahi Street, Suite 3 Hilo, Hawai'i 96720 Phone (808) 961-8288 Fax (808) 961-8742

November 10, 2015

74-5044 Ane Keohokalole Hwy

Kailua-Kona, Hawai'i 96740

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting PO Box 2308 Kailua-Kona, HI 96745

Dear Mr. Conventz:

SUBJECT:

Application: Variance - VAR-15-000310

Applicant:

KLAUS CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING

Owner:

KENNETH S. & STARR H. KNUTSON

Request:

Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5 Division 7,

Section 25-5-76 Minimum Yards and Article 4, Division 4,

Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open

Space Requirements. (Encroachment into the Front

(Northeast) Yard Open Space)

Tax Map Key: 7-3-015:004, Lot 46

The Planning Director certifies the approval of Variance No. 15-000310, subject to variance conditions. The variance will allow portion of the open lanai to remain with a minimum 7.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space to a minimum 9.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space, in lieu of the required 10-ft. front yard open space. These exceptions are in lieu of the required 10-ft, front yard open space as required by the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, Zoning, Section 25-5-44 (a), permitted projections into Yards and Open Space Requirements.

#### **BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS**

- 1. Location. The subject property, consisting of approximately 10,257 square feet of land, is located in the Kona Wonder View Lots Subdivision, situated at Kalaoa 2nd, North Kona, Hawai'i. The subject property's street address is 73-1134 Loloa Drive.
- 2. Zoning. Agricultural 5 acres (A-5a).
- 3. State Land Use. Agricultural.
- 4. Required Setback. 20-ft. front and rear, 10-ft. for sides.

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 2 November 10, 2015

5. Variance Application-Site Plan. The applicant submitted the variance application, attachments, and filing fee on September 11, 2015, and other submittals related to the variance request and variance application. The variance application's site plan, drawn to scale and prepared by Chrystal T. Yamasaki, LPLS, (Wes Thomas and Associates), denotes the portions of the open lanai built into the front (northeast) yard open space.

The survey map shows that the portion of the open lanai encroaches 0.50-ft. to 1.50-ft. into the 10-ft. front (northeast) yard open space.

The encroachment leaves a minimum 7.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space to a minimum 9.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space in lieu of the required 10-ft. side yard setback.

- 6. County Building Records. Hawai'i County Real Property Tax Division records indicate that a building permit (895880) was issued on July 21, 1989, for the construction of a 2-bedroom and 1-bath, single-family dwelling. A subsequent building permit (B2010-0780K) was issued on September 14, 2010 for additions and alterations.
- 7. Agency Comments and Requirements.
  - a. The State Department of Health (DOH) memorandum, dated October 8, 2015. (See attached memorandum)
  - b. No comments received from the Hawaii County Public Works Department-Building Division.
- 8. Notice to Surrounding Property Owners. The applicant submitted a copy of notice mailed to surrounding property owners (including affidavits) by USPS. According to these submittals, the first and second notices were mailed on September 11 and October 6, 2015, respectively. Notice of this application was published in the Hawai'i Tribune Herald and West Hawai'i Today on September 30, 2015.
- 9. Comments from Surrounding Property Owners or Public. No written comments or objections from surrounding property owners or general public were received by the Planning Department.

### ANALYSIS OF GROUNDS FOR VARIANCE

No variance will be granted unless it is found that:

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 3 November 10, 2015

(a) There are special or unusual circumstances applying to the subject real property which exist either to a degree which deprives the owner or applicant of substantial property rights that would otherwise be available, or to a degree which obviously interferes with the best use or manner of development of the property.

The variance application meets criteria (a) for the following reasons:

The survey map shows that the portion of the open lanai encroaches 0.50-ft. to 1.50-ft. into the 10-ft. front (northeast) yard open space.

The encroachment leaves a minimum 7.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space to a minimum 9.50-ft. front (northeast) yard open space in lieu of the required 10-ft. front yard setback.

No evidence has been found to allow indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the open lanai encroachment problem to occur.

It appears that the single-family dwelling constructed nearly 26 years ago was constructed under valid building permits and other construction permits issued by the County. Also, it appears that past building permit inspections of the premises by the agencies during construction of the single-family dwelling did not disclose any building encroachment issues or building setback irregularities at that time.

The above special and unusual circumstances determine the owner was not at fault in creating the encroachment violation and requiring them to fix the encroachments would interfere with the best use and development of the property.

# (b) There are no other reasonable alternatives that would resolve the difficulty.

The variance application meets criterion (b) for the following reasons:

Alternatives available to the current owners to correct and/or address the open lanai encroachment constructed into the affected front yard open space of the subject property include the following actions:

Remove the building encroachments and/or redesign or relocate the open lanai constructed upon the subject property to fit within the correct building envelope denoted on the recent survey map as prescribed by the Zoning Code. This alternative would be deemed unreasonable, especially when the current owners were under the impression that the open lanai was in compliance with all County requirements. Also, any structural or design correction to the open lanai to meet setback requirement would leave unattractive reconstruction scars.

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 4 November 10, 2015

Because the encroachments are within the front yard open space, to consolidate the subject property with the roadway and to re-subdivide the property to modify property lines and adjust minimum front yard open space are not viable options. Therefore, there are no reasonable alternatives to resolve the encroachment issue.

(c) The variance is consistent with the general purpose of the district, the intent and purpose of this chapter, and the general plan, and will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare or cause substantial, adverse impact to an area's character or to adjoining properties.

The variance application meets criteria (c) for the following reasons:

The intent and purpose of requiring building setbacks within a subdivision are to assure that adequate air circulation and exposure to light are available between permitted structure(s)/ uses and boundary/property lines.

The encroachment of the open deck and resulting with a minimum of 7.50-ft. front yard open space to a minimum 9.50-ft. front yard open space still allows for adequate air circulation, as the affected area is within the front yard setback adjacent to the roadway frontage.

No evidence has been found to show indifference or premeditation by the owners or builders to deliberately create or intentionally allow the building encroachment problems to occur.

The variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties. As such, it is felt that the issuance of this variance will not depreciate or detract from the character of the surrounding properties.

This can be substantiated, to some degree, by the fact that no comments or objections were received from the surrounding property owners or general public in response to the Notification of Surrounding Property Owners.

Based on the foregoing findings and unusual circumstances, the applicant's request for variance would be consistent with the general purpose of the zoning district and the intents and purposes of the Zoning Code, Subdivision Code, and the County General Plan. Furthermore, the variance request will not be materially detrimental to the public's welfare and will not cause substantial adverse impact to the area's character and to adjoining properties.

Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 5 November 10, 2015

### PLANNING DIRECTOR'S DECISION AND VARIANCE CONDITIONS

Based on the variance application's survey map, the open lanai built upon the subject property (LOT 46) will not meet the minimum front yard open space requirements pursuant to Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning Code).

This variance request is **approved** subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant/owner, their assigns or successors shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The applicant/owner(s), successors or assigns shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawai'i harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim, or demand for the property damage, personal injury, or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicants/owners, their successors or assigns, officers, employees, contractors, or agents under this variance or relating to or connected with the granting of this variance.
- 3. Should the open lanai on the subject property be destroyed by fire or other natural causes, the replacement structure shall comply with the Hawai'i County Code, Chapter 25, (Zoning) and be subject to State law and County ordinances and regulations pertaining to building construction occupancy.
- 4. Future or new building improvements and permitted uses shall be subject to State Law and County Ordinances and Regulations pertaining to building construction and occupancy.

Should any of the foregoing variance conditions not be complied with, the Planning Director may proceed to declare subject Variance VAR-15-000310 null and void.

Sincerely,

DUANE KANUHA Planning Director

LHN:lnm

P:\Admin Permits Division\Variances From CoH02\Zone7\VAR15-000310TMK7-3-015-004Knutson 11-10-15.doc

xc: Planning Department-Kona Real Property Tax Division-Kona Gilbert Bailado, GIS (via email) Klaus D. Conventz dba Baumeister Consulting Page 6 November 10, 2015

DAVID Y. IGE

VIRGINIA PRESSLER, M.D.



STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH P.O. BOX 916 HILO, HAWAII 96721-0916

#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:

October 8, 2015

TO:

Mr. Duane Kanuha

Planning Director, County of Hawaii

FROM:

Eric Honda

District Environmental Health Program Chief

SUBJECT:

Application: Variance VAR-15-000310

Applicant:

KLAUS D. CONVENTZ/BAUMEISTER CONSULTING

Owner: Request: KENNETH S. & STARR H. KNUTSON

Variance from Chapter 25, Zoning, Article 5 Division 7, Section 25-5-76 Minimum Yards and Article 4, Division 4. Section 25-4-44(a), Permitted Projections into Yards and Open Space Requirements (Encroachment into the Northeast

Side Yard Setback).

TMK:

7-3-015:004, Lot 46

The Health Department found no environmental health concerns with regulatory implications in the submittals.