Stephen K. Yamashiro Mayor



Virginia Goldstein Director

Norman Olesen Deputy Director

# County of Hawaii PLANNING DEPARTMENT

25 Aupuni Street, Room 109 • Hilo, Hawaii 96720-4252 (808) 961-8288 • Fax (808) 961-9615

February 28, 1997

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. 75-5586 Ololi Road, Suite 3007 Kailua-Kona, HI 96740

Dear Mr. Gallup:

Special Management Area Use Permit Assessment Application No. 96-80

Special Management Area Minor Use Permit No. 52 (SMM 52)

Applicant: Wallace H. Gallup, Jr.

Request: Installation of six foot (6') high chain link fence along the north,

east and south boundaries of the perimeter of the subject properties.

Tax Map Key: 7-5-020: 22, 24, 28 & 34, Puapua'a 1st, North Kona, Hawaii

Thank you for your submittal dated December 27, 1996, regarding the proposed installation of a six foot (6') high chain link fence along the north, east and south boundaries of the subject properties. The proposed fencing activities will not exceed \$125,000 in valuation. It has been determined that the proposed activity will not have an significant adverse impact to coastal resources. Therefore, we are hereby issuing Special Management Area Use Permit No. 52 to allow the proposed fencing activities, for the reasons as detailed below.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

1. Land Ownership: The subject properties, consisting of four separate parcels having a total land area of approximately 12.64 acres (hereinafter referred to as "project site"), are owned by Arahari Sangyo Co., Ltd. on behalf of Yamakin, Ltd. and Kaneyoshi Co., Ltd.

#### APPLICANT'S REQUEST

2. Request: The applicant proposes to install a six foot (6') high chain link fence along the north, east and south boundaries of the project site, consisting of a total of approximately 12.64 acres.

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. Page 2 February 28, 1997

- 3. Reason for Request: The purpose of the fencing activity is to keep out vagrants from using the property as a staging area for intrusions onto the subjects adjoining properties, and concerns of potential fire hazards.
- 4. Total Valuation: According to the application, the fencing activity is anticipated to cost about \$20,000.

### STATE AND COUNTY PLANS

- 5. State Land Use District: The project site is designated Urban by the State Land Use Commission.
- 6. General Plan: The General Plan Land Use Pattern Allocation Guide (LUPAG) Map designates the affected area for Resort uses with a strip designated for Open uses along the shoreline area.
- 7. County Zoning: The project site is designated Single Family Residential-15,000 square feet (RS-15) by the County Zoning Code.
- 8. Special Management Area (SMA): The project site is located within the County's Special Management Area. Therefore, this Special Management Area Minor Use Permit is being issued in satisfaction of Rule No. 9 of the Planning Commission regarding the Special Management Area.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTIES AND SURROUNDING AREA

- 9. Subject Properties: The area to be fenced will affect four separate properties individually consisting of 182,970 square feet (Parcel 22), 134,077 square feet (Parcel 24), 1,435 square feet (Parcel 28) and 232,068 square feet (Parcel 34). With the exception of Parcel 24, all remaining parcels have frontages along the shoreline as well as Alii Drive.
- 10. Existing Use: The project site is currently vacant of any use. According to the applicant, the properties have previously been grubbed three times. There is an existing seawall and boundary wall within Parcel 34. According to affidavits submitted from previous landowners of the subject properties, the seawall and boundary wall were constructed in 1965 by Frank Gouveia in conjunction with the construction of two single family dwellings within the project site, which have since been demolished. This information was also submitted to the State Department of Land and Natural Resources

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. Page 3 February 28, 1997

> during review and certification of the shoreline survey which defined the makai face of the seawall as the certified shoreline.

- 11. USDA Soil Survey Report: Soils within the subject property consist of Punalu'u extremely rocky peat. Permeability is moderately rapid, runoff is slow and the erosion hazard is slight.
- 12. FIRM: The Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) designates the project site as being affected by a number of flood areas, including the 100 year flood plain (AE), its flood fringe areas (Shaded X), coastal flood areas (VE), and areas located outside of the 500-year flood plain (X).
- 13. Archaeological Resources: An archaeological inventory survey report of the subject properties dated August 1994 was prepared by the applicant (Cultural Surveys Hawaii). The survey identified nine sites within the project site consisting of a hejau, burial complex, five permanent habitation sites, a Land Court Award boundary wall, and a mound of unidentified function. While six of the sites were significant solely for their informational content, the three remaining sites, consisting of the heiau, burial complex and a habitation site, were considered significant. The Department of Land and Natural Resources, by letter dated May 1, 1996, approved the applicants' proposed archaeological mitigation measures to conduct data recovery of the six sites deemed significant solely for their informational content and to preserve the remaining three sites (heiau, burial complex and one habitation site). In addition to these mitigation measures, the applicant has also proposed erection of a continuous fence line mauka of all nine sites of which no mechanized grubbing or mowing will occur makai of this fence line. The fence will be no closer than 100 feet from the sites to be preserved and no closer than 50 feet to the remaining sites. Only hand clearing under the supervision of a qualified archaeologist will be accomplished for lands located makai of this fenced line.
- 14. Floral/Faunal Resources: The project site has been extensively grubbed in the past and was also formerly utilized as homesites. Therefore, the project site is not anticipated to be an essential habitat for any endangered species of plants or animals.
- 15. Surrounding Land Use Designation/Uses: Land uses to the north and south of the project site consist primarily of single family residential uses on lands zoned Single Family Residential (RS-10) by the County. On the mauka side of Alii Drive are vacant lands zoned Agricultural (A-5a) by the County.

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. Page 4
February 28, 1997

#### UTILITIES AND SERVICES

- 16. Access: Access to the project site is provided by Alii Drive, a County-maintained roadway.
- 17. Other Essential Utilities and Services are not necessary to accommodate the proposed fencing activity.

#### ASSESSMENT

The purpose of Chapter 205A-HRS, and Planning Commission Rule No. 9, Special Management Area Rules and Regulations of the County of Hawaii, is to preserve, protect, and where possible, to restore the natural resources of the island's coastal zone areas. Special controls on development within these areas have been enacted to prevent permanent loss of valuable resources. Upon review of the subject request, the Planning Director finds that the proposed fencing of the project site is consistent with State and County Special Management Area policies, as outlined below:

- a) Recreational Resources: The proposed fencing of the project site to keep out vagrants from using the property as a staging area for intrusions onto adjoining properties and the proposed fencing will not interfere with potential recreational uses of the shoreline area fronting the project site or in surrounding areas. No use is being introduced to the project site which may directly conflict with potential recreational resources in the area.
- b) Historical and Natural Resources: An archaeological inventory survey identified nine sites within the project site. Six of these sites were significant solely for information content and will undergo data recovery by the applicant. The remaining three sites, consisting of a heiau, burial complex and habitation site, will be preserved. In addition to these mitigative measures, the applicant has proposed the establishment of a continuous fence line mauka of all nine archaeological sites. Fencing of the project site will not be permitted makai of this fence line. With these mitigative measures in place, these archaeological sites will not be adversely impacted by the proposed fencing activities.
- c) Scenic and Open Space Resources: The project site is not located within an area recognized by the General Plan as an example of natural beauty. The proposed fencing activity will not have any adverse effect upon the County's open space and scenic resources due to the limited nature of the proposed activity.

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. Page 5 February 28, 1997

- d) Coastal Ecosystems: Since no extensive construction activities are being considered under the applicant's proposal, concerns regarding runoff, erosion and ambient dust generated by the fencing activity will be minimal and temporary.
- e) Economic Uses: Potential economic uses of the nearby coastal areas will not be adversely impacted by the proposed fencing activities since these activities are solely to keep out vagrants from using the property as an staging area for intrusions onto the adjoining property.
- f) Coastal Hazards: The project site is located within an area affected by the 100-year frequency floods and coastal flooding hazards. No structure or use in being proposed within the project site. Therefore, potential dangers to life and property within the project site will be negligible.

#### DETERMINATION

Based on the preceding assessment, the Planning Director has determined that the proposed fencing activities within the project site would not be contrary to the state and county policies governing the Special Management Area. The Planning Director hereby approves Special Management Area Minor Use Permit No. 52, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant, its successors or assigns shall be responsible for complying with all stated conditions of approval.
- 2. The applicant shall indemnify and hold the County of Hawaii harmless from and against any loss, liability, claim or demand for the property damage, personal injury or death arising out of any act or omission of the applicant, its successors or assigns, officers, employees, contractors and agents under this permit or relating to or connected with the approval of this permit.
- 3. Prior to commencing fencing activities within the project site, the applicant shall erect a continuous high-visibility fence line at a location meeting with the approval of the State Department of Land and Natural Resources and the Planning Department. No fencing or other land alteration activities shall be permitted makai of this approved fence line. The fence line shall be established at a location no closer than 60 feet to the approximate location of the shoreline. Fencing activities may proceed upon receiving written clearance from the Planning Department.
- 4. Upon completion of the proposed improvements, all fencing material from the project site shall be removed in a timely and proper manner.

Mr. Wallace H. Gallup, Jr. Page 6 February 28, 1997

- 5. Should any unidentified sites or remains such as artifacts, shell, bone, or charcoal deposits, human burials, rock or coral alignments, pavings or walls be encountered, work in the immediate area shall cease and the Planning Director shall be immediately notified. Subsequent work shall proceed upon an archaeological clearance from the Planning Director when it finds that sufficient mitigative measures have been taken.
- 6. An extension of time for the performance of conditions within the permit may be granted by the Planning Director upon the following circumstances:
  - a) the non-performance is the result of conditions that could not have been foreseen or are beyond the control of the applicant, successors or assigns, and that are not the result of their fault or negligence;
  - b) granting of the time extension would not be contrary to the original reasons for the granting of the permit; and
  - c) the time extension granted shall be for a period not to exceed the period originally granted for performance (i.e., a condition to be performed within one year may be extended for up to one additional year).
- 7. Comply with all other conditions of the Department of Public Works, Building Division, if applicable.
- 8. Should any of the conditions not be met or substantially complied with in a timely fashion, the Director shall initiate procedures to revoke the permit.

Should you have any questions please feel free to contact Royden Yamasato of our Kona office at 327-3510.

Sincerely,

virginia goildstein

Planning Director

SSO:rld:pak

F:\WP60\CZM\SMM\SMM52.sso

xc: West Hawaii Office SMA Section